

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,260	03/25/2004	Jesse E. Pierce	1482/162(g)	6900
23381	7590 07/27/2004		EXAMINER	
DORR CARSON SLOAN & BIRNEY, PC 3010 EAST 6TH AVENUE			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
DENVER, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-)/-
	10/809,260	PIERCE ET AL.	- /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	+
	Dolores R. Collins	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat		th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a realition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>25 March 2004</u> .		
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been	pplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/23/04. 	·· ·· /	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,260

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-24 of U. S. Patent No. 6,340,158, claims 1-25 of U. S. Patent No. 6,220,593, claims 1-41 of U. S. Patent No. 6,047,963, claims 1-15 of U. S. Patent No. 6,398,219 & claims 1-41 of U. S. Patent No. 6,139,013 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they set forth subject matters, which are obvious over each

Application/Control Number: 10/809,260

Art Unit: 3712

other and only differ in breath of terminology used. For example, the "Casino Bonus Game" of claims 1-35 of the application is an obvious variation in meaning of the "Pachinko Casino Game" (Pachinko Bonus Game) of the aforementioned patents because the Casino Bonus Game and the Pachinko Casino Game are disclosed as being the same game).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,260

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(703)* 308-8352. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Derris Banks* can be reached on *(703) 308-1745*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *703-872-9306*.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 23, 2004

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700